

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care
FY2019
CoC Competition Scoring Criteria**

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

Agency			
Project Name			
Reviewer		Date	

Scoring Summary

Section	Maximum Points	Project Score
Section 1: Threshold Requirements	Met or Not Met	
Section 2: Federal Priorities	15	
Section 3: Project Performance	40	
Section 4: CoC System Participation	15	
Section 5: HMIS Timeliness and Completeness	15	
Section 6: Qualitative Criteria	15	
Total Points	100	

Note:

The HMIS application and Coordinated Entry application will not be scored. Due to HUD requirements that CoCs must have an HMIS system and Coordinated Entry system, and that all HUD funded projects must participate in HMIS and Coordinated Entry to receive funding, these projects will be ranked first.

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care
FY2019
CoC Competition Scoring Criteria**

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

Project Application Scoring

This section will be scored by the Collaborative Applicant staff based on project APR and application data. HUD requires the Collaborative Applicant to conduct this review.		
Section 1: Threshold Requirements		
Projects are reviewed by the Collaborative Applicant to determine whether they meet eligibility and capacity thresholds. Projects that are unable to meet the threshold criteria will not be accepted. Applications may be rejected and disqualified from the review process for any of the following reasons: (1) application materials are not received by the deadline, (2) the agency has outstanding HUD monitoring findings that are overdue or unsatisfactory, (3) the project does not comply with the requirements of the CoC interim rule (24 CFR part 578), <i>including requirements to accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System for the project and to participate in HMIS.</i>		
NOFA Application Requirements	Met	Not Met
Program participant is eligible for the program component type selected.		
The proposed activities are eligible under 24 CFR part 578.		
The project narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and meets the criteria for that question as required by the NOFA and included in the detailed instructions provided by HUD.		
The data provided in all parts of the project application are consistent.		
All required attachments correspond to the attachments list in e-snaps, contain accurate and complete information, and are dated between May 1, 2019 and September 14, 2019.		
CoC FL-503 Application Requirements		
Application materials were submitted by the Lead Agency deadline.		
Applicant has no outstanding HUD Findings.		
No Federal or State agency has taken adverse action against the provider.		
Match letter sufficiently documents the required match for the project type.		
Applicant draws down funds at least quarterly.		
No funds were recaptured by HUD.		

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care
FY2019
CoC Competition Scoring Criteria**

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

This section will be scored by the Collaborative Applicant based on data in the project application. SCORING: If the criterion is met, assign maximum points. If the criterion is not met, assign no points.			
Section 2: Federal Priorities			
		Max Points	Project Score
Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH)			
Permanent Supportive Housing	100% of beds are dedicated to CH or project is Dedicated Plus.	5	
	% of beds dedicated to CH is <100%, but 100% of beds are prioritized for CH.	3	
Project is Rapid Rehousing.		5	
Other Priorities			
Housing First/Low Barrier	Project follows the Housing First model.	5	
	Project has low entry barriers and low staying barriers.	3	
Alignment with HUD priorities <i>Project prioritizes at least one of the following subpopulations: Chronically Homeless; Homeless Families; Homeless Youth; Victims of Domestic Violence; and/or Homeless Veterans.</i>		5	

This section will be scored by the Project Selection Committee based on HMIS data. SCORING: Assign maximum points to highest performing project, then assign points proportionally down to the lowest performing project, using designated baseline metrics.			
Section 3: Project Performance			
	Baseline Metric	Max Points	Project Score
Percentage of persons who exited homelessness to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 2 years	Equal to or less than 17%	10	
Percentage of adult STAYERS who increased total income during the reporting period	Greater than 22%	5	
Percentage of adult LEAVERS who increased total income during the reporting period	Greater than 20%	5	
Percentage of persons who exited to permanent housing	Equal to or greater than 88%	10	
CoC Funds Effectiveness	lower cost per client served = higher score	5	
Bed utilization rate	higher utilization = higher score	5	

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care
FY2019
CoC Competition Scoring Criteria**

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

<p>This section will be scored by the Collaborative Applicant based on HMIS data, meeting minutes and attendance sheets, and Lead Agency determinations. SCORING: Use table below to assign points as indicated.</p>		
<p>Section 4: CoC System Participation</p>		
	Max Points	Project Score
Participates fully in Coordinated Entry, including no side doors.	5	
Regularly attends and participates in membership meetings.	5	
Is represented on committees and workgroups.	5	

Measure	Criteria	Points
Participates fully in Coordinated Entry, including no side doors	Yes, and Lead Agency confirms no side doors	5
	Yes, and Lead agency does not confirm no side doors	2
	No	0
Regularly attends and participates in membership meetings	Agency representatives attend 80% or more membership meetings	5
	Agency representatives attend less than 80% but at least 50% of membership meetings	4
	Agency representatives attend less than 50% but at least 30% of membership meetings	2
	Agency representatives attend less than 30% of membership meetings	0
Is represented on committees and workgroups	Agency representatives regularly serve on more than one CoC committees or workgroup	5
	Agency representatives regularly serve on one CoC committee or workgroup	3
	Agency representatives do not serve on CoC committees and workgroups	0

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care
FY2019
CoC Competition Scoring Criteria**

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

This section will be scored by the Collaborative Applicant based on HMIS data. SCORING: Maximum points if criterion is met. No points if not met.		
Section 5: HMIS Timeliness and Completeness		
	Max Points	Project Score
HMIS Timeliness Standard (based on HMIS Data Quality Plan approved 6/17/2015)		
Data for Evaluation Period was entered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> within 3 days of transaction for Transitional and Permanent Supportive Housing Programs. within 24 hours after enrollment/eligibility is established for Rapid Re-Housing and Homelessness Prevention Programs transactions. 	5	
HMIS Completeness Standards		
APR for Evaluation Period demonstrated ≤10% Refused/Unknown responses for Universal Data Elements.	5	
APR for Evaluation Period demonstrated ≤10% Null or Missing responses for Universal Data Elements.	5	

This section will be scored by Project Selection Committee based on the project application. SCORING: Score points based on scale shown.												
Section 6: Qualitative Criteria												
	Max Points	Project Score										
The quality of the application was:												
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Outstanding</td> <td>Above Average</td> <td>Average</td> <td>Below average</td> <td>Barely Acceptable</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">5</td> <td align="center">4</td> <td align="center">3</td> <td align="center">2</td> <td align="center">1</td> </tr> </table>	Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below average	Barely Acceptable	5	4	3	2	1	5	
Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below average	Barely Acceptable								
5	4	3	2	1								
This project addresses a serious need in Polk County.												
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Strongly Agree</td> <td>Somewhat Agree</td> <td>Neither Agree nor Disagree</td> <td>Somewhat Disagree</td> <td>Strongly Disagree</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">5</td> <td align="center">4</td> <td align="center">3</td> <td align="center">2</td> <td align="center">1</td> </tr> </table>	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	5	4	3	2	1	5	
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree								
5	4	3	2	1								
Funds for this project could be better used to fund a new project.												
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Strongly Agree</td> <td>Somewhat Agree</td> <td>Neither Agree nor Disagree</td> <td>Somewhat Disagree</td> <td>Strongly Disagree</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">5</td> <td align="center">4</td> <td align="center">3</td> <td align="center">2</td> <td align="center">1</td> </tr> </table>	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	5	4	3	2	1	5	
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree								
5	4	3	2	1								