

**FL-503 Lakeland, Winter Haven/Polk County Continuum of Care  
FY2021 CoC Competition Application Scoresheet**

<b>Agency</b>			
<b>Project Name</b>			New / Renewal
<b>Reviewer</b>		<b>Date</b>	

<b>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</b>		
Projects are reviewed by the Collaborative Applicant to determine whether they meet eligibility thresholds. Applications may be rejected and disqualified from the review process for failing to meet any of the requirements listed in this section. <b>This section will be completed by Collaborative Applicant staff based on application data. HUD requires the Collaborative Applicant to conduct this review.</b>		
<b>Application Requirements</b>	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
Program participant is eligible for the program component type selected.		
The proposed activities are eligible under 24 CFR part 578.		
The project narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and meets the criteria for that question as required by the NOFA and included in the detailed instructions provided by HUD.		
The data provided in all parts of the project application are consistent.		
All required attachments correspond to the attachments list in e-snaps, contain accurate and complete information, and are dated between August 17, 2021 and November 16, 2021.		
Application materials were submitted by the Lead Agency deadline.		
Applicant has no outstanding HUD or Lead Agency monitoring findings. Response to any past findings was not overdue or unsatisfactory.		
No Federal or State agency has taken adverse action against the provider.		
Project complies with the requirements of the CoC interim rule (24 CFR part 578), <i>including requirements to accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System for the project and to participate in HMIS.</i>		

**SCORING SUMMARY**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Maximum Points (New/renewal)</b>		<b>Project Score</b>
Section 1: Federal and Local Priorities	20		
Section 2: Project Performance	10	50	
Section 3: CoC Participation	25		
Section 4: Agency Capacity	20		
Section 5: Proposal Quality	30		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>140</b>	

<b>SECTION 1: FEDERAL AND LOCAL PRIORITIES</b>			
<b>This section will be scored by the Collaborative Applicant based on data in the project application. Scoring: max points for 'yes', no points for 'no'.</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
Target population	Project will provide services to hardest to serve populations, including chronically homeless, low/no income, criminal history, etc.	<b>10</b>	
Housing First	Project follows the Housing First model.	<b>10</b>	
<b>SECTION 1 TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>20</b>	

<b>SECTION 2: PROJECT PERFORMANCE</b>			
<b>This section will be completed by the Collaborative Applicant staff based on data in Annual Performance Reports and HMIS.</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
Cost Effectiveness	Cost per client served compared to other projects. <i>Assign maximum points to lowest cost per client, then assign points proportionally down to highest cost per client.</i>	<b>10</b>	
<b>Renewal projects only:</b>			
System Performance: Successful Exits	% leavers exiting to PH or retaining housing	<b>10</b>	
System Performance: Returns to Homelessness	% leavers accessing CE or ES within 2 years of exit	<b>10</b>	
System Performance: Employment/Income Growth	% clients with increased total income	<b>10</b>	
Utilization Rate	% of units actually occupied	<b>10</b>	
<b>SECTION 2 TOTAL POINTS (NEW PROJECT)</b>		<b>10</b>	
<b>SECTION 2 TOTAL POINTS (RENEWAL PROJECT)</b>		<b>50</b>	

**SCORING GUIDANCE FOR SECTION 2:**

<b>Measure</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Max Points</b>
System Performance: Successful exits	Exits to PH >= 90% or no exits	10
	Exits to PH = 75% - 89%	5
	Exits to PH < 75%	0
System Performance: Returns to homelessness	Based on applications received. Lowest % (or no exits) receives full points, highest % receives no points.	Calculation: $10 - \left(\frac{\text{this project \%}}{\text{highest project \%}} * 10\right)$
System Performance: Employment/Income Growth	Based on improvement over FY19 CoC average (stayers + leavers) of 25.5%. Highest % receives full points. Project averages below 25.5% receive no points.	Calculation: $\frac{\text{this project average} - 25.5}{\text{highest project average} - 25.5} * 10$
Utilization Rate	Units occupied >= 100% of total units	10
	Units occupied >= 80% of total units	5
	Units occupied < 80% of total units	0

Project Name:

<b>SECTION 3: COC PARTICIPATION</b>			
<b>This section will be completed by the Collaborative Applicant staff based on HMIS data, meeting minutes and attendance sheets.</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
Coordinated Entry	Agency participates fully in Coordinated Entry including no side doors.	<b>10</b>	
Meetings	Agency regularly attends and participates in membership meetings.	<b>10</b>	
Committees/Workgroups	Agency is represented on committees and workgroups.	<b>5</b>	
<b>SECTION 3 TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>25</b>	

**SCORING GUIDANCE FOR SECTION 3:**

<b>Measure</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Max Points</b>
Participates fully in Coordinated Entry, including no side doors	Yes, and Lead Agency confirms no side doors	10
	Yes, and Lead agency does not confirm no side doors	5
	No	0
Regularly attends and participates in membership meetings	Agency representatives attend 80% or more membership meetings	10
	Agency representatives attend less than 80% but at least 50% of membership meetings	5
	Agency representatives attend less than 50% but at least 30% of membership meetings	2
	Agency representatives attend less than 30% of membership meetings	0
Is represented on committees and workgroups	Agency representatives regularly serve on more than one CoC committee or workgroup	5
	Agency representatives regularly serve on one CoC committee or workgroup	3
	Agency representatives do not serve on CoC committees and workgroups	0

<b>SECTION 4: AGENCY CAPACITY</b>			
<b>This section will be completed by the Collaborative Applicant staff based on data in the project application.</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
Target Population	Experience of the applicant in working with the target population.	<b>5</b>	
Similar Housing	Experience of the applicant in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	<b>5</b>	
Years in Operation	Length of time organization has been in operation.	<b>5</b>	
Leverage of other Funding	Applicant’s experience in leveraging other Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.	<b>5</b>	
<b>SECTION 4 TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>20</b>	

Project Name:

**SCORING GUIDANCE FOR SECTION 4:**

Measure	Criteria	Max Points
Target Population	10 or more years of experience in working with the target population	5
	Less than 10 but more than 4 years of experience in working with the target population	3
	Less than 4 years of experience in working with the target population	0
Similar Housing	10 or more years of experience providing similar housing	5
	Less than 10 but more than 4 years of experience providing similar housing	3
	Less than 4 years of experience providing similar housing	0
Years in Operation	In operation 10 or more years	5
	In operation less than 10 but more than 4 years	3
	In operation less than 4 years	0
Leverage of Other funding	10 or more years of leveraging other Federal, state local, and private funds	5
	Less than 10 but more than 4 years of leveraging other Federal, state local, and private funds	3
	Less than 4 years of leveraging other Federal, state local, and private funds	0

<b>SECTION 5: PROPOSAL QUALITY</b>			
<b>This section will be scored by Project Selection Committee based on the entire project application.</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
Quality of application (detailed narratives, responses address questions adequately, etc.)	Outstanding: 5, Above Average: 4, Average: 3, Below Average: 2, Barely Acceptable: 0	<b>5</b>	
Project addresses a significant need in Polk County	Strongly Agree: 10, Agree: 8, Neither Agree nor Disagree: 5, Somewhat Disagree: 2, Strongly Disagree: 0	<b>10</b>	
Project is the best use of this funding compared to other project proposals	Strongly Agree: 10, Agree: 8, Neither Agree nor Disagree: 5, Somewhat Disagree: 2, Strongly Disagree: 0	<b>10</b>	
Recommend for funding	Strongly Agree: 5, Agree: 4, Neither Agree nor Disagree: 3, Somewhat Disagree: 2, Strongly Disagree: 0	<b>5</b>	
<b>SECTION 5 TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>30</b>	

Project Name: